

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

VIA ECF

767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153-0119
+1 212 310 8000 tel
+1 212 310 8007 fax

December 14, 2022

Richard W. Slack
+1 (212) 310-8017
richard.slack@weil.com

Honorable Dennis Montali
United States Bankruptcy Court
Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: *In re PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Case No. 19-30088 (DM) (Jointly Administered)*

Dear Judge Montali:

We represent the Reorganized Debtors and write concerning the proposed order in connection with the motion by the Reorganized Debtors to further extend the objection deadline for claims [Docket No. 13122] (the “**MotionObjectorsReorganized Debtors’ Proposed Order**

While the Reorganized Debtors and the Objectors agree on all of the actual language of the Reorganized Debtors’ Proposed Order, the Objectors want to add a sentence to Paragraph 6 (set forth below). Paragraph 6 of the Reorganized Debtors’ Proposed Order is a reservation of rights paragraph, requested by the Objectors, that states that the Reorganized Debtors’ Proposed Order does not itself preclude the Objectors from making a separate motion to modify the Securities Claims Procedures nor does it preclude the Reorganized Debtors from opposing any such motion on any ground. While the Reorganized Debtors believe that Paragraph 6 is both unnecessary and goes beyond the scope of the Motion, the Reorganized Debtors were willing to include such a reservation, as a matter of compromise, as long as that paragraph was neutral. The Objectors, however, seek to add an additional first sentence to Paragraph 6 that states: “Any objections relating to the Securities Claims Procedures or any securities class action process or potential securities class action settlement are preserved.” The Motion did not seek to establish, or modify, the Securities Claims Procedures, nor did it seek the Court’s approval of a securities class action process or potential securities class action settlement, and the objections were to

Honorable Dennis Montali
December 14, 2022
Page 2

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

the extension of the claims objection deadline, not the Securities Claims Procedures. Moreover, any objections to the Securities Claims Procedures should have been made at the time that those procedures were adopted and became the subject of an order. In any event, all of those issues are outside the scope of the current Motion and the Court need not and should not address them at this time or in this order. As such, the proposed sentence is inaccurate, unnecessary and unwarranted. The Reorganized Debtors therefore request that the Reorganized Debtors' Proposed Order be entered as is – with a reservation of rights as already contained therein and without the additional sentence demanded by the Objectors.

Given that the current deadline for the Reorganized Debtors to object to Claims under Section 7.1 of the Plan is Monday, December 19, 2022, the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request the Court enter the Reorganized Debtors' Proposed Order, as submitted, prior to that date.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard W. Slack
Richard W. Slack

cc:

Phillip S. Warden (via email: philip.warden@pillsburylaw.com)
Jonathan Doolittle (via email: jonathan.doolittle@pillsburylaw.com)
Melissa Boey (via email: melissa.boey@morganlewis.com)
Susan DiCicco (via email: Susan.DiCicco@morganlewis.com)
Gerald P. Kennedy (via email: gerald.kennedy@procopio.com)
Julie M. Murphy (via email: jmmurphy@stradley.com)